

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

KASIM HOWARD,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:20-cv-939-J-34PDB

vs.

ST. JOHN'S COUNTY SHERIFF, a
governmental entity established by and
through the Constitution of the State of
Florida, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiff's Response to Motions to Dismiss Filed by Defendants St. John's County Sheriff and Deputy Sheriff Jason W Briggs (Doc. 28; Response), filed on September 30, 2020. In the Response, Plaintiff, in addition to asserting that Defendants' motion to dismiss is due to be denied, alternatively requests leave to amend his complaint in the event the Court finds that his allegations are inadequate. See Response ¶¶ 41, 50, 52, 54. Preliminarily, the Court notes that a request for affirmative relief, such as a request for leave to amend a pleading, is not properly made when simply included in a response to a motion. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b); see also Rosenberg v. Gould, 554 F.3d 962, 965 (11th Cir. 2009) ("Where a request for leave to file an amended complaint simply is imbedded within an opposition memorandum, the issue has not been raised properly.") (quoting Posner v. Essex Ins. Co., 178 F.3d 1209, 1222 (11th Cir. 1999)).

Moreover, even if it were proper to include this request in the Response, the request is otherwise due to be denied for failure to comply with Local Rules 3.01(a) and 3.01(g),

United States District Court, Middle District of Florida (Local Rule(s)). Local Rule 3.01(a) requires a memorandum of legal authority in support of a request from the Court. See Local Rule 3.01(a). Local Rule 3.01(g) requires certification that the moving party has conferred with opposing counsel in a good faith effort to resolve the issue raised by the motion and advising the Court whether opposing counsel agrees to the relief requested. See Local Rule 3.01(g). In addition to these deficiencies under the Local Rules, the request in the Response also fails to satisfy the requirement that “[a] motion for leave to amend should either set forth the substance of the proposed amendment or attach a copy of the proposed amendment.” Long v. Satz, 181 F.3d 1275, 1279 (11th Cir. 1999); see also McGinley v. Fla. Dep’t of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, 438 F. App’x 754, 757 (11th Cir. 2011) (affirming denial of leave to amend where plaintiff did not set forth the substance of the proposed amendment); United States ex. rel. Atkins v. McInteer, 470 F. 3d 1350, 1361-62 (11th Cir. 2006) (same). Thus, the Court will not entertain Plaintiff’s request for relief included in the Response. Plaintiff is advised that, if he wishes to pursue such relief, he is required to file an appropriate motion, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court.

ORDERED:

To the extent that he requests affirmative relief from the Court, Plaintiff's Response to Motions to Dismiss Filed by Defendants St. John's County Sheriff and Deputy Sheriff Jason W Briggs (Doc. 28) is **DENIED without prejudice**.

DONE AND ORDERED in Jacksonville, Florida, this 1st day of October, 2020.


MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

lc11

Copies to:

Counsel of Record